

United States Senate

*Strengthening International
Legal Frameworks to
Prosecute Government
Officials Involved in Sexual
Exploitation and Trafficking
Networks, with Reference to
High-Profile Cases such as the
Epstein Files*

Director: Sofia Bozikian

Moderator: Vivian Gutierrez

I. INTRODUCTION:

The United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations was established in 1816 and is one of the Senate's oldest standing committees. Located in the United States Capitol in Washington, D.C., it is responsible for overseeing foreign policies, international relations, treaties, and diplomatic affairs. The Committee has influenced and opposed policies made by the presidents and secretaries of the United States of America. Members of the committee have assisted in the negotiation of treaties to generate peace and international relations. Furthermore, the Senate has played a significant role in reviewing important foreign policy initiatives and treaties, including the purchase of Alaska in 1867. In 1919 and 1920, the Committee was essential in the rejection of the Treaty of Versailles and in the passage of the Truman Doctrine in 1947 and the Marshall Plan in 1948. However, the Committee faced limitations regarding the urgent need to address refugee crises and postwar reconstructions, particularly during the Cold War.

Since the 1960s, the Senate has sought to address the imbalance of powers and the expansion of presidential authority over foreign policy. (United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, n.d.). The United States Senate is home to 24 committees: 16 standing, four special or select, and four joint committees. Through investigations and hearings among committees, information is gathered regarding international problems to consider and recommend legislation and provide oversight of federal government operations. Investigations and hearings have also led to the reviewing and military interventions in armed conflicts such as Vietnam, Iraq, and Afghanistan. Terrorism, cybersecurity, trade, climate change, agreements, and relations with China and Russia are topics discussed in this committee to help handle foreign policies (U.S. Senate: About the Committee System | Historical Overview, n.d.).

As one of the most influential and powerful committees in the United States Senate, the Committee on Foreign Relations plays a crucial role in addressing transnational issues that affect international security and human rights. Through hearings, investigations, and cooperation with foreign governments and international organizations, the Committee promotes policies that strengthen responsibility and support efforts to fight against human trafficking. Because of the cross-border nature of trafficking networks, international cooperation is key to ensure that

government officials, political leaders, authorities, and organized crime groups involved in these crimes are investigated and prosecuted. Therefore, this topic falls within the Committee's responsibility to address global challenges through foreign policy and cooperation (United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, n.d.).

Lack of legal frameworks regarding sexual exploitation and trafficking by government officials remains a major international issue that significantly affects millions of individuals. The Epstein files are documents related to criminal investigations into sex trafficking practices. Several accomplices have been identified, and several officials, such as Donald Trump, have denied Jeffrey Epstein's misconduct (Encyclopaedia Britannica, 2025). Legal frameworks and criminal charges have arisen against Jeffrey Epstein due to recruiting minors for sexual activity. The Department of Justice under the Epstein Files Transparency Act has released documents that include court records and federal investigations (U.S. Department of Justice, 2026). Trafficking networks operate across borders and international frameworks, including procedures by the United States, which improve collaboration between governments.

The Government of Mexico has not met standards for the elimination of trafficking, and corruption as well as complicity in trafficking crimes continue to inhibit law enforcement and government efforts remain inadequate. Trafficking happens across borders, making it difficult for countries to investigate these cases and prosecute officials who are guilty. The Epstein Files highlight how officials can avoid prosecution through lack of transparency, accountability, and international cooperation. Moreover, the United States government's collection on anti-trafficking efforts was unreliable, and many countries still struggle with a lack of transparency and corruption. In the U.S Senate Committee, delegates will debate how to strengthen legal frameworks to prosecute officials connected to trafficking and sexual exploitation. Increasing government accountability and transparency is essential, and improving international cooperation regarding this issue is significant as well (Mission, 2025).

II. HISTORICAL CONTEXT:

The prosecution of government officials involved in sexual exploitation and trafficking networks has become an important issue that has increased within the international community. Although human trafficking has existed for centuries, nowadays trafficking networks operate across national borders and take advantage of legal systems' weaknesses, increased corruption, and limited cooperation. Over the past two decades, Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO's) and governments have attempted to strengthen legal strategies to combat human trafficking, protect victims, and ensure the prosecution of the individuals involved in these crimes. However, formidable systemic barriers continue to limit how successful the prosecution of powerful individuals and public officials connected to trafficking networks is.

The Palermo Protocol and Development of International Standards (2000-2005):

The fight against human trafficking had a major turning point in November of 2000 when the United Nations implemented the Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children, better known as the Palermo Protocol. The Palermo Protocol gave a recognized definition of human trafficking and encouraged countries to punish trafficking offences as crimes, while still promoting cooperation between governments. Before it was implemented, many countries did not have legal frameworks that were capable of addressing trafficking as a transnational crime. The Protocol created a foundation for future international strategies by emphasizing prevention, prosecution, and victim protection (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime UNODC, n.d.).

The implementation of the Palermo Protocol showed the prominent concern of the expansion of organized criminal networks that are operating across multiple jurisdictions. Governments are starting to recognize that trafficking could not be effectively addressed through their own policies and sought international cooperation; international cooperation became essential because victims, perpetrators, and criminal organizations moved frequently between

countries to avoid prosecution. As a result, anti-trafficking efforts were implemented throughout time into international discussions seeking international security, human rights, and cross-border crime (UNODC, n.d.).

Expanding National Legislation and International Cooperation (2005-2010):

After the implementation of the Palermo Protocol, many countries introduced legislation that was designed to recognize trafficking as a crime and strengthen victim protection. International organizations encouraged governments to improve law enforcement cooperation, develop strategies to identify trafficking victims, and increase information sharing. Despite these efforts, implementation was still inconsistent. While legal frameworks were improved on paper, many countries struggled to allocate resources towards investigations and prosecutions.

During this period, concerns about corruption became increasingly conspicuous. Reports from governments and international organizations showed that some public officials made trafficking easier through bribery, document fraud, or deliberate inaction. These cases showed that legal reforms were not enough when corruption weakened enforcement efforts. Prosecuting government officials became a big challenge because individuals that are holding positions of authority have access to legal protection and resources that made investigations complicated (U.S. Department of State, n.d.).

The 3Ps Framework and the Focus on Accountability:

As anti-trafficking efforts evolved, international discussions started to focus on the strategy referred to as the “3Ps”: Prosecution, Protection, and Prevention. This framework became a central and important element of many governmental and international anti-trafficking actions. Prosecution focused on the importance of holding traffickers accountable through the criminal justice systems. Protection focused on supporting victims through medical, legal, and social services, and prevention seeks to address the conditions that increase vulnerability to trafficking, including poverty and discrimination (U.S. Department of State, n.d.).

Even though there was progress made, many people argued that the accountability

systems were not implemented when government officials were involved. In many countries, investigations that involved public authorities were delayed, obstructed, or abandoned because of their positions of authority. These challenges contributed to growing concerns of impunity and the unequal application of justice. International organizations emphasized that anti-trafficking policies required transparency, oversight, and independent investigations that were capable of addressing the improper use of governmental institutions (U.S. Department of State, n.d.)

Growing Attention to High-Profile Exploitation Cases (2015-2018):

Public awareness regarding sexual exploitation and trafficking expanded significantly by the mid 2010s. People started drawing attention to cases involving influential individuals that were accused of exploiting vulnerable populations. These cases generated international debates, and people wondered whether wealth, social status, or political connections influence legal prosecutions.

At the same time, legislators began to research the weaknesses of legal systems that allowed perpetrators to avoid being held accountable. Discussions focused on the jurisdictional limitations, the difficulties in obtaining evidence across borders, and the challenges that were related to prosecuting individuals connected to powerful institutions. These concerns contributed to stronger international legal cooperation and more effective accountability systems (DiTallo, 2025).

The Jeffrey Epstein Case (2019-2025)

One, if not the most influential case ever, was the Jeffrey Epstein case. Although several allegations regarding Epstein's conduct date back to the early 2000s, when multiple victims accused him of recruiting and abusing underage girls. In 2008, he reached a highly controversial plea agreement, resulting in a light sentence despite how serious the accusations were. The agreement generated criticism from legal experts, who argued that it showed even bigger issues regarding the treatment of influential people in the justice system (Cook, 2023).

More than a decade later, public attention returned to the case when Jeffrey Epstein was arrested in 2019 on federal sex and trafficking charges. The arrest continued discussions regarding previous decisions and raised questions about why the earlier allegations did not have stronger legal consequences. The case received widespread global media scrutiny because it highlighted concerns of institutional failures, transparency, and the ability of legal systems to investigate and prosecute powerful individuals (Cook, 2023).

Following Jeffrey Epstein's death in 2019, discussion continued through the release of court records and documents commonly referred to as the “Epstein Files” in 2026. These documents contained approximately 3.5 million pages of records such as emails, text messages, flight logs, internal investigation reports, and court transcripts, in addition to more than 180,000 photographs and over 2000 videos (U.S. Department of Justice, 2026). The Epstein files created significant interest because they referenced many wealthy, influential, and famous individuals, including politicians, business leaders, and celebrities. One of the most important names that received the most attention was former and current U.S. President Donald Trump, along with several other public figures. The inclusion in the files does not necessarily mean criminal activity; nevertheless, the scale of the evidence and the number of individuals connected to the case created debates regarding transparency, accountability, and the government's ability to investigate powerful individuals who are involved in exploitation networks. For many people, the case became a clear example of the challenges governments face in prosecuting influential people and shows the need for a strong legal framework and international cooperation to ensure justice for victims (OHCHR, 2026).

Legal Challenges and Transnational Accountability (2020-2025)

During the early 2020s, legal experts and legislators focused on international trafficking networks. Researchers argued that trafficking often involves multiple jurisdictions and countries; this creates challenges that are related to evidence collection and international cooperation. These challenges become even more important when government officials or influential individuals are involved. People start to question whether sovereignty and jurisdiction limit the ability of international institutions to intervene directly in national investigations (DiTallo, 2025).

After seeing the difficulty of prosecuting individuals with authority, it led to discussions about strengthening international legal frameworks that are capable of addressing trafficking more effectively. Experts know the importance of improving cooperation between governments, expanding information sharing, and ensuring that public officials that are accused of trafficking related crimes face independent investigations and are prosecuted accordingly. The debates showed the need for legal systems that are capable of addressing crimes that pass national borders while still respecting international legal systems. (DiTallo, 2025).

At the same time, the United Nations continued promoting safeguards that were designed to prevent sexual exploitation and abuse within governmental and international organizations. Furthermore, more attention was placed on reporting systems, victim-centered approaches, transparency measures, and accountability systems made to prevent abuse from individuals in positions of authority. These initiatives reflect the growing recognition that preventing exploitation requires not only criminal prosecutions but also reforms and laws that are capable of reducing opportunities for abuse (United Nations, 2025).

The historical development of international anti-trafficking efforts shows the significant progress made in establishing legal frameworks, cooperation, and raising awareness of sexual exploitation and trafficking networks. Nevertheless, the ongoing challenges related to corruption, political influence, jurisdictional limitations, and accountability continue to limit effective prosecutions. High-profile cases such as Jeffrey Epstein's have intensified public opinion regarding the ability of legal systems to prosecute powerful individuals. These historical developments provide essential context for discussions regarding how the international community can strengthen legal frameworks to prosecute government officials involved in trafficking and exploitation networks while ensuring transparency, cooperation, and justice for victims.

III. CURRENT SITUATION:

The Jeffrey Epstein files case continues to cause public and political attention. The following section presents the key developments related to the case, including the release of government records and further implementation of transparency and accountability.

The Release of the Epstein Files:

In 2026, the Jeffrey Epstein case regained significant international attention due to the release of approximately 3.5 million pages of records under the Epstein Files Transparency Act by the United States Department of Justice. The materials included emails, text messages, flight records, court transcripts, internal investigation reports, more than 180,000 photographs, and over 2,000 videos (U.S Department of Justice, 2026). The publication renewed public interest in understanding the depth and extent of Epstein's criminal network and the individuals associated with it. The release also created discussions regarding how effective previous investigations were and the responsibility of the government to ensure transparency in cases involving powerful and influential individuals (U.S. Department of Justice, 2026).

The Epstein files and their release have contributed to questions about the role of transparency in the justice system. The following section discusses how the disclosures influenced public trust and debates.

Transparency and Accountability:

The publication of these records triggered intense international debates over whether the unredacted disclosures fostered genuine institutional accountability or merely amplified unverified public speculation. Individuals often argue that incomplete or poorly managed releases can decrease the efforts to obtain justice for victims and may distract attention from the serious crimes committed. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR, 2026) stated that greater transparency is necessary to restore public trust in institutions and ensure that investigations related to powerful individuals are conducted fairly and openly. The different perspectives show the challenges of balancing public access to information with the need for transparent investigations (OHCHR,2026).

The impact of the Epstein case has extended beyond the officials involved. Global efforts have been of major concern to combat exploitation and protect vulnerable populations. The following section discusses international concerns and their implications.

International Concerns Regarding Exploitation and Trafficking:

Besides the individuals involved, the Epstein case continues to highlight bigger concerns regarding sexual exploitation, human trafficking, and the protection of vulnerable individuals and populations. International organizations such as the United Nations have emphasized the importance of strengthening prevention mechanisms, improving victim support systems, and increasing cooperation between governments. The case emphasizes the need for more effective frameworks to identify, prevent, and prosecute crimes related to sexual exploitation while ensuring that victims receive adequate and needed protection and assistance (United Nations, 2025; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [UNODC], n.d.)

The following section examines obstacles to legal systems during investigations and prosecutions of influential officials. It also addresses the importance of strengthening accountability through international cooperation.

The Challenges in Prosecuting Powerful Individuals

The Epstein case has also sparked discussions regarding the difficulties of prosecuting individuals who possess significant political, social, or economic power. It's been argued that existing legal systems often face challenges when investigating transnational criminal networks, especially when the suspects have access to extensive resources and are operating across multiple jurisdictions. These concerns have led to proposals for stronger international cooperation and expanded mechanisms to address accountability gaps in cases involving sexual exploitation and trafficking (DiTallo, 2025).

The issues highlighted by the Epstein case have renewed discussions about the importance of international cooperation regarding transnational crimes. The following section examines the role of legal frameworks to improve accountability,

International Cooperation and Legal Frameworks

International cooperation is still one of the most significant challenges in addressing cases of sexual exploitation and trafficking that involve transnational networks. Due to the differences between national legal systems, jurisdictional limitations, and the different definitions of trafficking related crimes, it complicates investigations and prosecutions. As a result, international organizations have encouraged governments to strengthen information sharing mechanisms, improve border control, and develop more consistent and effective legal standards. Supporters of stronger international frameworks argue that these measures would reduce accountability gaps and make it more difficult for influential individuals to avoid prosecution by operating across borders. However, expanding international legal authority may raise concerns regarding national sovereignty and the independence of judicial systems (United Nations, 2025). The debate extends beyond the Epstein case, as governments and international organizations continue to examine how legal frameworks can address allegations involving public officials who may use their political influence to evade accountability (DiTallo, 2025).

IV. UN ACTIONS:

Government officials play a disparaging role regarding sexual exploitation and trafficking networks. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, there are many cases where officials have participated in systemic corruption that has fueled sexual exploitation and human trafficking. Officials have abused their position of power and have tried to encourage

victims to withdraw complaints (United Nations, 2023). Fortunately, the UN presents zero tolerance for sexual exploitation and human trafficking networks by government officials. This is considered a violation of human rights by the UN, and therefore there have been many approaches and mechanisms to address corruption and abuse of power. For a domestic legislative body like the United States Senate, these international anti-corruption frameworks serve as vital benchmarks. They help senators evaluate domestic statutory mechanisms like the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) and assess how foreign assistance can be conditioned on a state's compliance with global anti-trafficking standards.

Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons Especially Women and Children, supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organised Crime:

This protocol was adopted on November 15, 2000, by the General Assembly resolution 55/25. It serves as an effective response to human trafficking and abuse by providing help and protection to victims. The first part of the protocol is called General Provisions, and it includes five articles. The first one addresses the relationship with the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime. The second one is a statement of purpose to help prevent and combat trafficking, to protect and assist the victims, and to promote cooperation. Article 3 covers the use of terms to understand the initiative and mechanism. The fourth one addresses the scope of application and how it serves as prevention and investigation. Finally, Article 5 addresses criminalization and how legislative and other legal measures may be necessary to establish criminal offences. The protocol is followed by the second part called: Protection of victims of trafficking in persons. This part mentions the protection of the identity of victims, physical and psychological recovery, and return, as well as permission to have residency in a territory. The third part of the protocol is the prevention, cooperation, and other measures. It states how comprehensive policies and programmes should be applied, and it also mentions border measures, security, and control of documents of the victims. The fourth part of the protocol established final provisions and settlement of disputes (OHCHR, 2000).

The UN Voluntary Trust Fund for Victims of Trafficking in Persons (UNVTF):

The UNVTF was established in 2010 to support survivors of trafficking. It provides essential financial assistance, humanitarian rehabilitation, and legal programs to help victims rebuild their lives. It focuses on overcoming the critical effects of trafficking. Multiple court cases exist that indicate that mostly women and girls are commonly involved in sexual exploitation and trafficking. The following are the most important organizations that have been able to fund over \$20,000: El Refugio de la Niñez Guatemala, Blue Dragon Vietnam, and Different and Equal Albania (United Nations, 2017).

The United Nations Office of the Special Coordinator on Improving the UN Response to Sexual Exploitation and Abuse (OSCSEA):

Created by the Secretary-General in 2016, the OSCSEA sought to prevent and respond to sexual abuse by providing initiatives such as mandates and resources. The office presented three priorities to prevent sexual exploitation and abuse in 2026. The first one is to strengthen the PSEA policy framework in order to update the Secretary-General's bulletin on special measures for protection from sexual exploitation. It also includes addressing data and reporting, safe recruitment, screening, and victims' rights. The second one is to align the system around a renewed Protection From Sexual Exploitation strategy. Finally, the last one is to ensure sustainable funding for prevention, coordination, and victim support as well as expand access to technical expertise and operational guidance across the United Nations system (United Nations, 2016).

V. POSSIBLE SOLUTIONS:

Human trafficking and sexual exploitation continue to challenge governments despite existing legal frameworks. States demonstrate insufficient cooperation that affects the effectiveness of investigations and prosecutions. This often leads to corruption and jurisdictional limitations, more specifically when public officials and influential individuals are involved. The following solutions proposed and supported by the United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations are meant to strengthen international cooperation, improve accountability, and provide practical measures.

I. Strengthen International Judicial Cooperation:

- a) Expand bilateral and multilateral agreements to make it easier for countries to extradite criminals, share evidence, and carry out joint investigations against trafficking that operates across borders (UNODC, n.d.).
- b) Promoting stronger collaboration among national prosecutors, INTERPOL, and other international organizations to improve the exchange of information while still respecting each country's national sovereignty (INTERPOL, n.d.).

II. Increase Accountability for Public Officials:

- a) Encourage governments to establish independent officials who are responsible for investigating allegations involving government officials accused of trafficking and/or sexual exploitation.
- b) Promote transparency requirements requiring reporting on investigations involving public officials while still protecting victims' identities and due process.

III. Improve Victim and Witness Protection:

- a) Strengthen witness protection programs by expanding access to relocation, psychological support, legal assistance, and long-term protection for victims

cooperating with investigations.

- b) Increase international funding for survivor-centered services through partnerships with governments and international organizations.

IV. Harmonize International Legal Frameworks:

- a) Encourage states to align domestic anti-trafficking legislation with international standards established under the Palermo Protocol and other relevant conventions.
- b) Promote specialized training for judges, prosecutors, and law enforcement officials regarding trafficking investigations involving politically influential individuals.

V. Enhance Transparency and Institutional Oversight:

- a) Support independent audits of government agencies responsible for trafficking investigations to identify institutional weaknesses and improve accountability.
- b) Promote the use of secure digital databases that facilitate information sharing between governments while protecting confidential information (U.S. Department of Justice, 2026).

VI. SENATORS INVOLVED:

- 1. **Dick Durbin (Illinois) - Democratic Party:**

As the Senate Democratic Whip and significant advocate for judicial reforms and victims' rights, Senator Dick Durbin has supported increasing government transparency and strengthening accountability within federal institutions. Illinois is home to one of the nation's largest judicial districts and plays a significant role in shaping federal legal policy. Durbin's work on Senate Judiciary matters and his oversight of the Department of Justice make him relevant to discussions surrounding trafficking investigations, prosecutorial accountability, and reforms intended to improve transparency in high-profile criminal cases.

2. *Lindsey Graham (South Carolina)- Republican Party*

Lindsey Graham's stance on foreign policies, national security, and international cooperation has made a significant impact in the Senate. Lindsey has supported stronger international unions to combat transnational crime. His work on the Senate Judiciary Committee and the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, as well as his experience in extradition, international law, and cross-border security, makes him really important in discussions involving trafficking networks operating across multiple jurisdictions.

3. *John Cornyn (Texas) - Republican Party*

As a former Attorney General of Texas and long-serving U.S. Senator, John Cornyn has consistently supported legislation addressing human trafficking, border security, and organized crime. Texas experiences one of the highest numbers of human trafficking cases in the United States due to its extensive international border with Mexico. Cornyn's legal background and focus on strengthening law enforcement cooperation make him important for this committee.

4. *Amy Klobuchar (Minnesota) - Democratic Party*

Amy Klobuchar was a prosecutor before she became a Senator. This means that Amy has extensive experience with criminal investigations and support for victims. Amy makes sure people who are trafficking are punished and protects the ones who are hurt. Her legal knowledge

of the law provides valuable perspectives on strengthening accountability while also protecting the rights of survivors.

5. *Marsha Blackburn (Tennessee) - Republican Party*

Marsha Blackburn has taken a leading role in protecting children from online sexual exploitation and combating human trafficking. Marsha has provided significant support towards exploitation by aiming to increase penalties for traffickers and strengthening protections for minors. Marsha has also shown concerns regarding improving cooperation between federal agencies. Her work on issues related to child safety and digital exploitation makes her especially relevant to discussions concerning sexual exploitation networks.

6. Richard Blumenthal (Connecticut) – Democratic Party
7. Cory Booker (New Jersey) – Democratic Party
8. Ted Cruz (Texas) – Republican Party
9. Elizabeth Warren (Massachusetts) – Democratic Party
10. Ashley Moody (Florida) – Republican Party
11. Josh Hawley (Missouri) – Republican Party
12. Chris Murphy (Connecticut) – Democratic Party
13. Tom Cotton (Arkansas) – Republican Party
14. Kirsten Gillibrand (New York) – Democratic Party
15. Sheldon Whitehouse (Rhode Island) – Democratic Party
16. Mike Lee (Utah) – Republican Party
17. Raphael Warnock (Georgia) – Democratic Party
18. Joni Ernst (Iowa) – Republican Party

19. Patty Murray (Washington) – Democratic Party
20. Rick Scott (Florida) – Republican Party
21. Jeanne Shaheen (New Hampshire) – Democratic Party
22. Tim Scott (South Carolina) – Republican Party
23. Ron Johnson (Wisconsin) – Republican Party
24. Katie Britt (Alabama) – Republican Party
25. Mazie Hirono (Hawaii) – Democratic Party

(United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, n.d.)

VII. BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- Cook, A. (2023). *Jeffrey Epstein: Pedophiles, prosecutors, and power*. Iowa Journal of Gender, Race & Justice, 26*(2).
https://jgrj.law.uiowa.edu/sites/jgrj.law.uiowa.edu/files/2023-05/JGRJ%2026.2_Cook_1_0pdf
- DiTallo, P. (2025). *Sovereignty, impunity, and the accountability gap: Structural failures in prosecuting transnational child sex trafficking networks and the case for expanded International Criminal Court jurisdiction*. Social Science Research Network.
https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=6294079
- Encyclopaedia Britannica. (2025). *What are the Epstein files?*

<https://www.britannica.com/question/What-are-the-Epstein-files>

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2000). *Protocol to prevent, suppress and punish trafficking in persons, especially women and children*.
<https://www.ohchr.org/sites/default/files/ProtocolonTrafficking.pdf>

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2026). *Flawed Epstein files disclosures undermine accountability for grave crimes*.
<https://www.ohchr.org/en/press-releases/2026/02/flawed-epstein-files-disclosures-undermine-accountability-grave-crimes>

U.S. Department of Justice. (2026). *Background on criminal charges*.
<https://www.justice.gov/media/1426281/dl?inline>

U.S. Department of Justice. (2026). *Department of Justice publishes 3.5 million responsive pages in compliance with the Epstein Files Transparency Act*.
<https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/department-justice-publishes-35-million-responsive-pages-compliance-epstein-files>

U.S. Department of State. (n.d.). *The 3Ps: Prosecution, protection and prevention*.
<https://www.state.gov/3ps-prosecution-protection-and-prevention>

U.S. Embassy & Consulates in Mexico. (2025, September 29). *2025 trafficking in persons report: Mexico*.
<https://mx.usembassy.gov/2025-trafficking-in-persons-report-mexico/>

United Nations. (2016). *Preventing sexual exploitation and abuse*.
<https://www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/>

United Nations. (2017). *United Nations Trust Fund for Victims of Human Trafficking*.
https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/human-trafficking-fund/human-trafficking-fund_projects_sexual-exploitation.html

United Nations. (2025). *Joint UN-government framework for the prevention of*

sexual exploitation and abuse: Technical guidance.
https://www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/sites/www.un.org/preventing-sexual-exploitation-and-abuse/files/joint_un-government_framework_for_psea_technical_guidance_2025.pdf

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2023). *How corruption facilitates, fuels and fosters human trafficking.*
<https://www.unodc.org/unodc/en/human-trafficking/Webstories2023/how-corruption-facilitates--fuels-and-fosters-human-trafficking.html>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (n.d.). *Framework for action to combat human trafficking.*
https://www.unodc.org/documents/human-trafficking/Framework_for_Action_TIP.pdf

United States Senate. (n.d.). *About the committee system: Historical overview.*
<https://www.senate.gov/about/origins-foundations/committee-system/overview.htm>

United States Senate Committee on Foreign Relations. (n.d.). *Committee history & rules.* <https://www.foreign.senate.gov/about/history>